UNIKASSEL WIRTSCHAFTS VERSITÄT WISSENSCHAFTEN

Universität Kassel FB07 34109 Kassel To all PhD-students in the MAGKS-network

FG Finanzwissenschaft

Prof. Dr. Ivo Bischoff

Universität Kassel Fachbereich Wirtschaftswissenschaften Nora-Platiel-Str. 4 34127 Kassel

bischoff@wirtschaft.uni-kassel.de Telefon +49 561 804 3064

MAGKS-Course on Behavioral Economics

11.10.2017 Seite 1 von 7 Dear PhD-students,

Welcome to my MAGKS-course on Behavioral Economics. It is divided into two blocks

- Block 1: January 16 18, 2018
- Block 2: 2 additional days, date is fixed during Block 1

It will take place at the University of Kassel, Campus Holländischer Platz, Henschelstraße 4, Building K33 Raum 1109 (10.30 a.m. – 5.30 p.m.).

The main aim of the course is to give you an overview on the field of Behavioral Economics covering both concepts and methods and hopefully inspiring you to make use of them in your own research.

Below, please find the rough outline of the course and a list of reading for block 1. The list includes far more things than we can even touch on. It is intended to inspire selective reading. You do not have to work through the readings.

(Ivo Bischoff)

(preliminary)

Block 1:

- On the first two (and a quarter) days, I will introduce you to the field of Behavioral Economics.
- On day 3, participants give a 10-minute presentation about the main theme of their dissertation (or any other research project they currently work on). In this presentation, the following questions should be adressed:
 - Which concepts and methods are potentially useful form your own research?
 - How can they be integrated?

After each presentation, there will be room for discussion – especially on these two questions.

• At the end of day 3, each one of you chooses a specific concept or method that has not been covered so far. These concepts or methods can be related to your own research but it does not have to be.

Time between blocks:

- You prepare a presentation on the concept or method chosen and a commented list of readings for the other participants of the course.
- If you have any question regarding your presentation, I will be happy to help.

Block 2:

- Students give their presentations (20 minutes per presentation).
- They should focus on the following aspects
 - o key elements of the concept or method
 - How to apply the concept (possibly using an example)
- Each presentation is followed by a general discussion.
- You may also present any research idea related to Behavioral Economics.

A. Behavioral Economics - Defining a new field in economics

- 1. Rationality in economics and psychology
- 2. Conventional economics versus behavioral economics
- 3. Complex environments and the as-if assumption

B. Anomaly-Hunting in non-complex environments

- 1. Non-rational behavior in games against nature
- 2. Violations of the implicit requirements for rational behavior
- 3. Non-rational behavior in games

C. Broadening the scope of the utility functions

- 1. Fairness and other-regarding preferences
- 2. Psychological games
- 3. Identity Economics
- 4. Expressive behavior

D. Boundedly rational behavior - a selection of models using non-complex environments

- 1. Prospect theory
- 2. Regret theory
- 3. Self-control problem the (β,δ) -problem
- 4. Roemers' concept of a Kantian equilibrium

E. Human behavior in complex environments

- 1. Cognitive concepts and stylized facts about deliberation in complex environments
- 2. Fast and frugal heuristics
- 3. Decision making in a complex world mental models
- 4. Evidence on laymen's reasoning about the economy

F. Methods in behavioral economics

- 1. Experimental Economics
- 2. Neuroeconomics
- 3. Vignettes

Seite 4 von 7

G. (Further) Imports from psychology

- 1. Personality
- 2. Affect and Emotions

H. Learning

- 1. Learning in conventional economics
- 2. Normative theories of learning
- 3. Positive theories of learning
- 4. Using models of learning to answer questions in economics and economic policy
- 5. Some remarks on the choice of an adequate learning model

Literature:

- Akerlof, G. A. and Kranton, R. E. (2000). Economics and Identity. Quarterly Journal of Economics CXV (3): 715-753.
- Akerlof, G. A. and Kranton, R. E. (2001). A model of oppositional culture. Mimeo.
- Becker, G. S. (1986). The economic approach to human behavior. in: Elster, J. (Eds.). Rational Choice. Oxford, Basil Blackwell: 108–122.
- Benabou, R. and Tirole, J. (2016). Mindful Economics: The production, consumption and Value of beliefs. Journal of Economic Perspectives 30(3): 141–164.
- Bischoff, I. (2004). Inhalt und empirischer Gehalt der Rationalitätsannahme ein Literaturüberblick, in: Jahrbuch für Wirtschaftswissenschaften 55: 196–221.
- Bleichrodt, H. and Wakker, P. P. (2015). Regret theory. A bold alternative to the alternatives. Economic Journal 125: 493-532.
- Brenner, T. (1999). Modelling Learning in Economics. Cheltenham, Edward Elgar.
- Brocas, I. and Carrillo J. D. (2014). Dual-process theories of decision making: A selective survey. Journal of Economic Psychology 41: 45-54.
- Camerer, C., Loewenstein, G. and Prelec, D. (2005). Neuroeconomics: How Neuroscience Can Inform Economics, Journal of Economic Literature, XLIII: 9-64.
- Colllier, P. (2016). The cultural foundations of economic failure: a conceptual toolkit. Journal of Economic Behavior & Organization 126: 5-24.
- Collins, J. et al. (2016). Evolutionary Biology in Economics: A review. Economic Record 92/297, 291-312.
- Dal Bo, E. et al. (2016). The demand for bad policy when voters underappreciate equilibrium effects.
- De Kleer, J. and Brown, J. S. (1983). Assumptions and Ambiguities in Mechanistic Mental Models. in: Gentner and Stevens (Eds): Mental models. Hillsdale etc., Erlbaum, 155– 191.

- Dietrich, F. and List, C. (2016). Reason-based choice and context dependency: an explanatory framework. Economics and Philosophy 23: 175-
- Dixon, R. et al. (2014). Lay people's models of the economy: A study based on surveys of consumer sentiments. Journal of Economic Psychology 44: 13–20.
- Elster, J. (1998). Emotions and Economic Theory. Journal of Economic Literature 36(1): 47-74.
- Falk, A. et al. (2016). The Preference Survey Module. A validated instrument for measuring risk, time and social preferences, IZA Discussion Paper No. 9674.
- Fehr, E. and Schmidt, K. (1999). A theory of fairness, competition and cooperation. Quarterly Journal of Economics 114(3): 817-868
- Friedman, D. and Sunder, S. (1994). Experimental methods, A primer for economists. Cambridge, Cambridge University Press.
- Geanakoplos, J. and Pearce, D. (1989). Psychological Games and Sequential Rationality. Games and Economic Behavior 1: 60-79.
- Gentzkow, M. et al. (2016). Text as data. NBER Working Paper 23276.
- Gigerenzer, G., Todd, P. M. and the ABC Research group (1999, eds.). Simple heuristics that make us smart. Oxford, Oxford University Press.
- Hamlin, A. and Jennings, C. (2011). Expressive Political Behaviour: Foundations, Scope and Implications. British Journal of Political Science 41: 645-670.

Harbaugh, W.T., Mayr, U. and Burghart, D.R. (2007). Neural responses to taxation and voluntary giving reveal motives for charitable donations Science 316: 1622-1625.

- Harstad, R. M. and Selten R. (2013). Bounded-Rationality Models: Tasks to become intellectually competitive. Journal of Economic Literature 51(2): 496-511.
- Heiner, R. A. (1983). The origins of predictable behavior. American Economic Review 73(4): 560–595.
- Izard, Carroll E. (2010a): "The many meanings/aspects of emotion Definitions, functions, activation, and regulation", Emotion Review, 2 (4), S.363–370.
- Izard, Carroll E. (2010b): "More meanings and more questions for the term emotion", Emotion Review, 2 (4), S.383-385.
- Jackson, M. O. (2014). Networks in the understanding of economic behaviors. Journal of economic perspectives 28(4): 3-22.
- Kahneman, D. and Tversky, A. (1979). Prospect Theory: An analysis of decision under uncertainty. Econometrica 47: 263–291.
- Kahneman, D. and Tversky, A. (1992). Advances in Prospect Theory: Cumulative Representation of Uncertainty. Journal of Risk and Uncertainty 3: 297-323.
- Kleinginna, Paul R. und Anne M. Kleinginna (1981). A categorized list of emotion definitions, with suggestions for a consensual definition. Motivation and Emotion, 5 (4): 345-379.

- Konow, J. (2009). Is fairness in the eye of the beholder? An impartial spectator analysis of justice. Social Choice and Welfare 33:101-127.
- Konow, J. (2003). Which is the fairest one of all? A positive analysis of justice theories. Journal of Economic Literature XLI: 1188–1239.
- Konow, J. (2001). Fair and square: the four sides of distributional justice. Journal of Economic Behaviour and Organization 46: 137–164.
- Leiser. D. and Shemesh, Y. (fortcoming). How we misunderstand economics and why it matters. Mimeo.
- Leiser, D. et al. (2017). The conspirational style in lay economic thinking. PLOS one 12(3). 1-17.
- Loewenstein, G. and Adler, D. (1995). A bias in the prediction of tastes. The Economic Journal 105: 929-937.
- MacKinnon A., Jorm, A. F., Christensen H., Korten A. E., Jacomb, P. A. and Rodgers, B. (1999). A short form of the Positive and Negative Affect Schedule: evaluation of fac-torial validity and invariance across demographic variables in a community sample. Personality and Individual Differences Volume 27 (3): 405-416.

Mauss, Iris B. und Michael D. Robinson (2009): "Measures of emotion – A review", Cognition & Emotion, 23 (2), S.209–237.

McNair, D. M., Lorr, M., and Droppelman, D. F. (1971). Profile of Mood States, San Diego: Educational and Industrial Testing Service.

- Müller, J. and Schwieren, C. (2012). What can the Big Five Personality Factors contribute to explain Small-Scale Economic Behavior? Tinbergen Institute Discussion Paper 2012-28/1.
- Müller, J. and Schwieren, C. (2017). Using Personality Questionnaires in Experiments Limits and Potentials. ;MPRA paper No. 78132.
- O-Donoghue, T. and Rabin, M. (1999). Doing it now or doing it later. American Economic Review 89: 103-24.
- Rabin, M. (1993). Incorporating Fairness into Game Theory and Economics. American Economic Review 83(5), 1281–1302.
- Rammstedt, B. et al. (2012). Eine kurze Skala zur Messung des fünf Dimensionen der Persönlichkeit: Big-Five-Inventory-10 (BFI-10). Working Paper, Leibniz-Institut für Sozialwissenschaften.
- Rauhut, H. and Winter, F. (2010). A sociological perspective on measuring social norms by means of strategy method experiments. Social Science Research 29: 1181–1194.
- Roemer, J. E. (2010). Kantian Equilibrium. Scandinavian Journal of Economics 112(1): 1-24.
- Roemer, J. E. (2014). Kantian optimization: A microfoundation for cooperative behaviour. Journal of Public Economics forthcoming.
- Rossi, P. H., and Richard A. Berk (1985). Varieties of normative consensus. American Sociological Review 50: 333-347.
- Schnellenbach, J. and Schubert, C. (2014). Behavioral Public Choice: A survey. Freiburg Discussion Papers on Constitutional Economics 14/03.

- Seite 7 von 7 Shayo,M. (2009). A model of social identity with an application to political economy: Nation, class, and redistribution. American Political Science Review 103(2): 147–174.
 - Wälde, K. (2016). Emotion Research in Economics. Gutenberg School of Management and Economics & Research Unit "Interdisciplinary Public Policy" Discussion Paper number 1611.
 - Weber, R. A. (2001). Behavior and Learning in the "Dirty Face" Game. Experimental Economics 4: 229-242.
 - Xiao, E. and Houser, D. (2009). Avoiding the sharp tongue Anticipated written messages promote fair economic exchange. Journal of Economic Psychology 30:393–404.