

Prof. Dr. Robert Schwager · Platz der Göttinger Sieben 3 · 37073 Göttingen · Germany

Prof. Dr. Robert Schwager
Professor of Economics

rschwag@gwdg.de +49 (0)511 / 39-7244

Göttingen, April 07, 2018

MAGKS doctoral course "Political Economics", spring 2018

Problem Set I

Please hand in your solutions in the lecture on Fri 20.04.2018, or send a pdf to the above email-address. It is not necessary to type solutions; hand-written solutions are acceptable as long as they are legible. Groups of up to three participants may co-operate.

Problem 1

Society is composed of an odd number I>0 of families, labeled i=1,2,...,I, each consisting of a parent who votes and a child. Family i is characterised by its income $y^i>0$ and the child's ability $a^i>0$. Average income and average ability are y and a, respectively. The utility of family i is

$$u^i = u(c^i, z^i) = c^i + z^i,$$

where c^i is the family's consumption and z^i is human capital of the family's child.

Human capital is produced by combining ability and per capita spending on public education, denoted by $s \ge 0$, according to

$$z^i = f(s) \cdot a^i$$
.

The production function f(s) satisfies f'(s) > 0, f''(s) < 0 for all s > 0, and f'(0) > 1/a > f'(y), $f'(0) > y^i/(ya^i) > f'(y)$ for all i = 1, 2, ..., I.

(a) Derive the education level s^* which maximises the sum of utilities (utilitarian welfare maximum).

Spending on public education is financed by a proportional income tax at rate τ , $0 \le \tau \le 1$.

- (b) State the indirect utility function of family i. Are preferences single-peaked? Derive the education level s^i most preferred by family i=1,2,...,I.
- (c) Assume that all children have the same ability, $a^i = a$ for i = 1, 2, ..., I. Which education level s_y is the Condorcet winner? When is $s_y \geqq s^*$? Interpret this result and discuss which case is most likely to hold empirically.
- (d) Assume that all families have the same income, $y^i = y$ for i = 1, 2, ..., I. Which education level s_a is the Condorcet winner? When is $s_a \ge s^*$? Interpret this result. Do you see difficulties in finding out the empirically relevant case?



- (e) Characterise the Condorcet winner s_{ya} for the general case where both ability and income vary across families. When is $s_{ya} \geqq s^*$?
- (f) Assume that ability of child i is given by

$$a^i = \gamma y^i + \epsilon^i \,,$$

with $\gamma>0$ and a random component ϵ^i . Random components ϵ^i are independent of incomes and of each other and satisfy $E(\epsilon^i)=0$ for all i=1,2,...,I. Discuss whether and why ability could be linked to income in such a way. Assume that the vote takes place before random components are realised, and compare the Condorcet winner to the education level which maximises expected utilitarian welfare.

Problem 2

For some one-dimensional policy $q \in [0, \overline{q}]$, let citizen i's indirect utility be given by

$$W(q; \alpha^i) = \left(q - \frac{1}{\alpha^i}\right)^2$$
,

where α^i is a preference parameter satisfying $\alpha^i \geq 1/\overline{q}$.

- (a) Draw a graph of the indirect utility function for two different individuals i and i'. Are these preferences single-peaked?
- (b) Show that the preferences satisfy the single crossing condition (Persson/Tabellini 2002, Def. 3, p. 23). Which policy is the Condorcet winner?

Problem 3

There are two periods 1,2. A country decides on the per capita amount of public investment g which has to be paid for in period 1 and is used in period 2.

Individual i's private consumption in periods 1 and 2 is $c_1^i \geq 0$ and $c_2^i \geq 0$. His or her preferences are represented by the utility function

$$u^{i}(c_{1}^{i}, c_{2}^{i}, g) = c_{1}^{i} + \delta^{i} (c_{2}^{i} + \ln g).$$

The discount factors δ^i are distributed over the interval $[\underline{\delta}, \overline{\delta}]$, where $0 < \underline{\delta} < 1 < \overline{\delta}$. The median is denoted by δ^m . All individuals i have identical income $y^i = y > \overline{\delta}$ in each period.

To finance public investment, the government may levy lump sum taxes t_1 and t_2 in periods 1 and 2, respectively. Taxes may differ across periods but must be identical across individuals. Moreover, the government may issue public debt d per capita in period 1 which has to be repaid in period 2, with an interest rate of 0. Fiscal policy instruments are restricted to satisfy $0 \le t_1, t_2 \le y$ and $0 \le d \le g$.

- (a) State individual i's indirect utility as a function of public investment g and public debt d. Why does a median voter result hold here (no proof required)? Explain intuitively why the median preferred policy is the equilibrium in a Downsian model of competing office motivated politicians.
- (b) Solve individual i's optimisation problem to find his or her most preferred policy (g^i, d^i) . Why is $d^i = 0$ or $d^i = g^i$ for most i? Explain how (g^i, d^i) depends on the individual discount factor δ^i . State the median preferred outcome (g^m, d^m) .

The country agrees to a fiscal compact which outlaws public debt.



Faculty of Economic Sciences Chair of Public Economics

- (c) How does the democratically chosen policy change compared to the outcome (g^m, d^m) from (b)?
- (d) Who loses, who wins from the fiscal compact? Explain. Would a majority of voters support the fiscal compact?