

Universität Kassel FB07 34109 Kassel

To all PhD-students  
in the MAGKS-network

**FG Finanzwissenschaft**

Prof. Dr. Ivo Bischoff

Universität Kassel  
Fachbereich Wirtschaftswissenschaften  
Nora-Platiel-Str. 4  
34127 Kassel

bischoff@wirtschaft.uni-kassel.de  
Telefon +49 561 804 3064

### **MAGKS–Course on Behavioral Economics spring 2026 – preliminary outline**

17.12.2025  
Seite 1 von 8

Dear PhD-students,

I am planning to give my MAGKS-course on Behavioral Economics in Spring 2026.

The main aim of the course is to give you an overview on the field of Behavioral Economics covering both concepts and methods and hopefully inspiring you to make use of them in your own research.

The course is organized in three blocks:

Block 1: April 13–14, 2026

Block 2: April 15, 2026

Block 3: date: t.b.d., 10–12 units of 45 minutes each

Blocks 1 & 2 will take place at the University of Kassel, Campus Holländischer Platz (10.30 – 17.45). The time slots and mode of teaching for Block 3 will be determined when we meet in April 2023.

Below, please find the preliminary outline of the course and a list of reading for block 1. The literature listed covers far more aspects of Behavioral Economics than we can even touch on. It is intended to inspire selective reading. You do not have to work through the readings.

Note that the outline is preliminary. I am still working through a number of recent contributions. So there may be some changes.

(Ivo Bischoff)

Block 1:

- I will introduce you to the field of Behavioral Economics.
- The choice of topics, models and concepts is highly selective. It is intended to give you an overview on the very heterogeneous bouquet of elements in Behavioral Economics.

Block 2:

- Participants give a 10-minute presentation about the main theme of their dissertation (or any other research project they currently work on). In this presentation, the following questions should be addressed:
  - Which concepts and methods are potentially useful for your own research?
  - How can they be integrated?

After each presentation, there will be room for discussion – especially on these two questions.

- At the end of block 2, each one of you chooses a specific concept or method that has not been covered so far. The concept or method can be related to your own research but it does not have to be.

Time between block 2 and 3:

- You prepare a presentation on the concept or method chosen and a commented list of readings for the other participants of the course.
- If you have any question regarding your presentation, I will be happy to help.

Block 3:

- Participants give their presentations (25 minutes per presentation).
- They should focus on the following aspects
  - key elements of the concept or method
  - How to apply the concept (in general and using one specific example)
- Each presentation is followed by a general discussion.

**A. Behavioral Economics – Defining a new field in economics**

1. Rationality in economics and psychology
2. Rational Behavior in economics
3. How to falsify the rationality assumption
4. What is Behavioral Economics?

**B. Anomaly–Hunting in non–complex environments**

1. Non–rational behavior in games against nature
2. Violations of the implicit requirements for rational behavior
3. Non–rational behavior in games
4. Discussion: so what?

**C. Methods in behavioral economics**

1. Experimental Economics
2. Field experiments: a brief sidestep
3. Neuroeconomics: a brief introduction
4. Vignettes and survey experiments
5. A note on qualitative methods

**D. Broadening the scope of the utility functions**

1. Fairness and other–regarding preferences
2. Psychological games
3. Economics of identity – the model of Akerlof and Kranton (QJE, 2000)
4. Expressive behavior
5. Economics of Status

**E. Boundedly rational behavior – a selection of models using non–complex environments**

1. Types of models
2. Prospect theory (Daniel Kahneman and Amos Tversky, 1979, 1992)
3. Regret theory (Loomes and Sugden, 1982)
4. Self–control problem – the  $(\beta, \delta)$ –problem (see O'Donoghue and Rabin, 1999)
5. Dual process theories
6. Roemers' concept of a Kantian equilibrium

## **F. Human behavior in complex environments**

1. Starting point: complex environment
2. Helpful concepts about cognition and decision making in complex environments
3. Rule-based behavior
4. Thinking about a complex world: mental models
5. Regularities in human reasoning/decisions about the economy
6. An economist's perspective on these issues: some thoughts

## **G. (Further) Imports from psychology and their relationship to the concept of preferences**

1. Personality
2. Affect and Emotions

---

## **H. Narrative Economics (Robert J. Shiller)**

### **I. Learning**

1. Learning in conventional economics
2. Normative theories of learning
3. Positive theories of learning
4. Using models of learning to answer questions in economics and economic policy
5. Some remarks on the choice of an adequate learning model

### **Literature:**

- Akerlof, G. A. and Kranton, R. E. (2000). Economics and Identity. *Quarterly Journal of Economics* CXV (3): 715–753.
- Akerlof, G. A. and Kranton, R. E. (2001). A model of oppositional culture. Mimeo.
- Becker, G. S. (1986). The economic approach to human behavior. in: Elster, J. (Eds.). *Rational Choice*. Oxford, Basil Blackwell: 108–122.
- Benabou, R. and Tirole, J. (2016). Mindful Economics: The production, consumption and Value of beliefs. *Journal of Economic Perspectives* 30(3): 141–164.
- Bischoff, I. (2004). Inhalt und empirischer Gehalt der Rationalitätsannahme – ein Literaturüberblick, in: *Jahrbuch für Wirtschaftswissenschaften* 55: 196–221.
- Bleichrodt, H. and Wakker, P. P. (2015). Regret theory. A bold alternative to the alternatives. *Economic Journal* 125: 493–532.
- Brenner, T. (1999). *Modelling Learning in Economics*. Cheltenham, Edward Elgar.
- Boscarino, J. E. (2019). From Three Mile Island to Fukushima: the impact of analogy on attitudes toward nuclear power. *Policy Sciences* 52: 21–42.

- Bouacida, E. and Martin, D. (2020). Predictive Power in Behavioral Welfare Economics. Lancaster University Economics Working Paper Series 2020/008.
- Brocas, I. and Carrillo J. D. (2014). Dual-process theories of decision making: A selective survey. *Journal of Economic Psychology* 41: 45–54.
- Camerer, C., Loewenstein, G. and Prelec, D. (2005). Neuroeconomics: How Neuroscience Can Inform Economics, *Journal of Economic Literature*, XLIII: 9–64.
- Collier, P. (2016). The cultural foundations of economic failure: a conceptual toolkit. *Journal of Economic Behavior & Organization* 126: 5–24.
- Collins, J. et al. (2016). Evolutionary Biology in Economics: A review. *Economic Record* 92/297, 291–312.
- Czibor, E., Jimenez-Gomez, D. and List J. A. (2019). The dozen things experimental economists should do (more of). NBER Working Paper No. 25451.
- Dal Bo, E. et al. (2018). The demand for bad policy when voters underappreciate equilibrium effects. *The Review of Economic Studies* 85(2): 964–998,
- De Kleer, J. and Brown, J. S. (1983). Assumptions and Ambiguities in Mechanistic Mental Models. in: Gentner and Stevens (Eds): *Mental models*. Hillsdale etc., Erlbaum, 155–191.
- Dietrich, F. and List, C. (2016). Mentalism versus BEhaviourism in economics: a philosophy of science perspective. *Economics and Philosophy* 32: 249–281.
- Dietrich, F. and List, C. (2016). Reason-based choice and context dependency: an explanatory framework. *Economics and Philosophy* 23: 175–
- Dixon, R. et al. (2014). Lay people's models of the economy: A study based on surveys of consumer sentiments. *Journal of Economic Psychology* 44: 13–20.
- Elster, J. (1998). Emotions and Economic Theory. *Journal of Economic Literature* 36(1): 47–74.
- Falk, A. et al. (2016). The Preference Survey Module. A validated instrument for measuring risk, time and social preferences, IZA Discussion Paper No. 9674.
- Fehr, E. and Schmidt, K. (1999). A theory of fairness, competition and cooperation. *Quarterly Journal of Economics* 114(3): 817–868
- Friedman, D. and Sunder, S. (1994). *Experimental methods, A primer for economists*. Cambridge, Cambridge University Press.
- Geanakoplos, J. and Pearce, D. (1989). Psychological Games and Sequential Rationality. *Games and Economic Behavior* 1: 60–79.
- Gentzkow, M. et al. (2019). Text as data. *Journal of Economic Literature* 57(3): 535–574.
- Gigerenzer, G., Todd, P. M. and the ABC Research group (1999, eds.). *Simple heuristics that make us smart*. Oxford, Oxford University Press.
- Hamlin, A. and Jennings, C. (2011). Expressive Political Behaviour: Foundations, Scope and Implications. *British Journal of Political Science* 41: 645–670.

- Harbaugh, W.T., Mayr, U. and Burghart, D.R. (2007). Neural responses to taxation and voluntary giving reveal motives for charitable donations *Science* 316: 1622–1625.
- Harrison, G. W. and List, J. A. (2004). Field Experiments. *Journal of Economic Literature* XLII: 1009–1055.
- Harstad, R. M. and Selten R. (2013). Bounded–Rationality Models: Tasks to become intellectually competitive. *Journal of Economic Literature* 51(2): 496–511.
- Heiner, R. A. (1983). The origins of predictable behavior. *American Economic Review* 73(4): 560–595.
- Izard, Carroll E. (2010a): „The many meanings/aspects of emotion – Definitions, functions, activation, and regulation“, *Emotion Review*, 2 (4), S.363–370.
- Izard, Carroll E. (2010b): „More meanings and more questions for the term emotion“, *Emotion Review*, 2 (4), S.383–385.
- Jackson, M. O. (2014). Networks in the understanding of economic behaviors. *Journal of economic perspectives* 28(4): 3–22.
- Jagelka, T. (2020). Are Economists' Preferences Psychologists' Personality Traits? A Structural Approach. IZA Discussion Paper No. 13303.
- Kahneman, D. and Tversky, A. (1979). Prospect Theory: An analysis of decision under uncertainty. *Econometrica* 47: 263–291.
- Kahneman, D. and Tversky, A. (1992). Advances in Prospect Theory: Cumulative Representation of Uncertainty. *Journal of Risk and Uncertainty* 3: 297–323.
- Kartal, M. and Tyran, J.–R. (2020). Fake News, Voter Overconfidence, and the Quality of Democratic Choice. University of Copenhagen, Department of Economics, Discussion Papers No. 20–03.
- Kleinginna, Paul R. und Anne M. Kleinginna (1981). A categorized list of emotion definitions, with suggestions for a consensual definition. *Motivation and Emotion*, 5 (4): 345–379.
- Konow, J. (2009). Is fairness in the eye of the beholder? An impartial spectator analysis of justice. *Social Choice and Welfare* 33:101–127.
- Konow, J. (2003). Which is the fairest one of all? A positive analysis of justice theories. *Journal of Economic Literature* XLI: 1188–1239.
- Konow, J. (2001). Fair and square: the four sides of distributional justice. *Journal of Economic Behaviour and Organization* 46: 137–164.
- Leiser, D. and Shemesh, Y. (forthcoming). How we misunderstand economics and why it matters. *Mimeo*.
- Leiser, D. et al. (2017). The conspirational style in lay economic thinking. *PLOS one* 12(3). 1–17.
- Loewenstein, G. and Adler, D. (1995). A bias in the prediction of tastes. *The Economic Journal* 105: 929–937.
- MacKinnon A., Jorm, A. F., Christensen H., Korten A. E., Jacomb, P. A. and Rodgers, B. (1999). A short form of the Positive and Negative Affect Schedule: evaluation of factorial validity and invariance across demographic variables in a community sample. *Personality and Individual Differences* Volume 27 (3): 405–416.

- Mauss, Iris B. und Michael D. Robinson (2009): „Measures of emotion – A review“, *Cognition & Emotion*, 23 (2), S.209–237.
- McNair, D. M., Lorr, M., and Droppelman, D. F. (1971). *Profile of Mood States*, San Diego: Educational and Industrial Testing Service.
- Müller, J. and Schwieren, C. (2012). What can the Big Five Personality Factors contribute to explain Small-Scale Economic Behavior? *Tinbergen Institute Discussion Paper* 2012–28/1.
- Müller, J. and Schwieren, C. (2017). Using Personality Questionnaires in Experiments – Limits and Potentials. ;MPRA paper No. 78132.
- Norris, J. (2019). Identity Economics: Social Influence and Skill Development. *Journal of Economic Surveys* 33(5): 1389–1408.
- Nowak, A., Kacprzyk–Murawska, M. and Serwota, E. (2017). Social Psychology and the Narrative Economy. In: Johnson, J. et al. (eds): *Non–Equilibrium Social Science and Policy. Understanding Complex Systems*. Springer. p.45–58.
- O–Donoghue, T. and Rabin, M. (1999). Doing it now or doing it later. *American Economic Review* 89: 103–24.
- Rabin, M. (1993). Incorporating Fairness into Game Theory and Economics. *American Economic Review* 83(5), 1281–1302.
- Rammstedt, B. et al. (2012). Eine kurze Skala zur Messung des fünf Dimensionen der Persönlichkeit: Big–Five–Inventory–10 (BFI–10). Working Paper, Leibniz–Institut für Sozialwissenschaften.
- Rauhut, H. and Winter, F. (2010). A sociological perspective on measuring social norms by means of strategy method experiments. *Social Science Research* 29: 1181–1194.
- Roemer, J. E. (2010). Kantian Equilibrium. *Scandinavian Journal of Economics* 112(1): 1–24.
- Roemer, J. E. (2014). Kantian optimization: A microfoundation for cooperative behaviour. *Journal of Public Economics* forthcoming.
- Rossi, P. H., and Richard A. Berk (1985). Varieties of normative consensus. *American Sociological Review* 50: 333–347.
- Schnellenbach, J. and Schubert, C. (2014). Behavioral Public Choice: A survey. *Freiburg Discussion Papers on Constitutional Economics* 14/03.
- Sharpe, K. (2019). Updating Beliefs under Risk and Uncertainty. *Economic Record* 95(S1): 39–64.
- Shayo, M. (2009). A model of social identity with an application to political economy: Nation, class, and redistribution. *American Political Science Review* 103(2): 147–174.
- Shiller, R. J. (2017). Narrative Economics. *American Economic Review* 107(4): 967–1004.
- Stantcheva, S. (2021). Understanding Tax Policy: How Do People Reason? *Quarterly Journal of Economics* 136(4):2309–2369.
- Stillman, S. and Velamuri, M. (2020). Are Personality Traits Really Fixed and Does It Matter? *IZA Discussion Paper* No. 13342.
- Wälde, K. (2016). Emotion Research in Economics. Gutenberg School of Management and Economics & Research Unit “Interdisciplinary Public Policy” Discussion Paper number 1611.

Weber, R. A. (2001). Behavior and Learning in the "Dirty Face" Game. *Experimental Economics* 4: 229–242.

Xiao, E. and Houser, D. (2009). Avoiding the sharp tongue – Anticipated written messages promote fair economic exchange. *Journal of Economic Psychology* 30:393–404.